$\mathcal{P} S \mathcal{E} \mathcal{R} a$

APSERa Array of Precision Spectrometers for the Epoch of RecombinAtion

Mayuri Sathyanarayana Rao



Raman Research Institute Bangalore

The signal we are chasing



The signal we are chasing



Adapted from: Chluba, J., & Ali-Haimoud, Y., 2016, MNRAS, 456, 3494

Properties

- Signal goes from 100 MHz ~ THz
- GHz: Peak-Peak amplitude ~10s nK
- Almost quasi-periodic, well defined strcuture
- Global Signal
- Unpolarized

A view of the universe

Galactic foreground: Synchrotron, thermal $(z \sim 0)$

Discrete radio sources: AGNs, Star-forming gal., normal gal. (z ~ 0-7)

21-cm from the cosmic dawn, re-ionization (z ~ 6-15)

21-cm from the dark ages $(z \sim 15-150)$

CMB from recombination $(z \sim 1090)$

SIL

C

The Epoch of Recombination

• What is/was it? - $e^- + p^+ \rightarrow H + \gamma$

• When was it?

- HeIII \rightarrow HeII 5000 \leq z \leq 8000
- HeII \rightarrow HeI 1600 $\leq z \leq 3500$
- HII \rightarrow HI 500 $\leq z \leq$ 2000

- Recombination occurs at redshifts $z\,<\,10^4$
- Thermalization not effective
- There should be some **small** spectral distortion due to the photons released during recombination!

Why detect them?

- Confirmation of thermal, ionization history of the Universe
- Independent measure of cosmological parameters
- Experimental measurement of prestellar Helium abundance
- Primordial y-type distortions

First step...

We ask the question:

" Even with an ideal instrument can we detect this signal?"

First step...

We ask the question:

" Even with an ideal instrument can we detect this signal?"



Phew!

<u>Criteria</u>

- Include at least two recombination lines in an octave
- Maximize Signal-to-Noise ratio (Ground)









Simulate a sky spectrum



Devise a foreground subtraction method

- Foreground subtraction is a non-trivial problem
- We do not know the functional form of the foreground
- Multiple power laws summed is 'smooth'
- Assumptions:
 - -Ideal, frequency independent beam

If we fit with an unconstrained polynomial



Maximally Smooth function

- Do not allow zero crossings in higher order derivatives
- Polynomial in log(ν) and log(T)space 10^Σp[i]*log(ν/ν₀)i
- Taylor expansion about lowest freq. ν_0 in band
- Successive approximation

Residual on fitting with an MS function



What we expect



Statistical tests of confidence

- MCMC : Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis
- T(v) = BB(p[0]) + p[1]*Trec(v) +
 - $10^{\Sigma p[i] * \log(\nu/\nu_0)i}$
 - (i = 2,3,..., N)
- Marginalize over all parameters except p[1], which is the amplitude of the template expected
- Estimate distribution of this parameter for different levels of noise in spectra

Bayes Factor

- Compare two models to explain the same data
- Data D, Models M1 and M2

• BF =
$$P(M1 | D)$$

P (M2 | D)

- Model M1 (Null Hypothesis) $T(v) = BB (p[0]) + 10^{\sum p[i] * \log(v/v_0)i}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., N)
- Model M2 (Alternative Hypothesis) $T(v) = BB(p[0]) + Trec(v) + 10^{\sum p[i] * \log(v/v_0)i}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., N)

And the results are in



And the results are in



Take away

- We want to detect signals arising from the epoch of recombination
- We have devised an algorithm to model the intervening foregrounds. This is the Maximally Smooth.
- With an array of 128 cryogenically cooled radio telescopes we can detect the signal with 90% confidence in about one year.

LOTS OF WORK TO BE DONE!!!

Take away

- We want to detect signals arising from the epoch of recombination
- We have devised an algorithm to model the intervening foregrounds. This is the Maximally Smooth.
- With an array of 128 cryogenically cooled radio telescopes we can detect the signal with 90% confidence in about one year.

IT MAKES SENSE TO DO THE



Array of Precision Spectrometers for the Epoch of RecombinAtion



http://www.rri.res.in/DISTORTION/

APSERa

- Prototype element of array
- 2 4 GHz

• Correlation spectrometer

• Being designed and built at RRI



Courtesy: Patra, N., Subrahmanyan, R., Raghunathan, A., & Udaya Shankar, N. 2013, ExA, 36, 319

- Noise injection to provide bandpass calibration and differential measurement
- Correlation spectrometer removes uncorrelated noise in the signal path
- Subtracting measurements from different switch states removes correlated (noise) power
- FX correlation spectrometer

Specifications:

- Frequency independent over 2-4 GHz
- Smooth return loss characteristics

Both these characteristics help in avoiding spurious spectral features





Raghunathan, A. ; Shankar, N.U. ; Rao, M.S. ; Subrahmanyan, R. 2015 Proceedings of the IEEE-APS Topical Conference on Antennas and Propagation in Wireless Communications (APWC), 7-11 Sept. 2015, Turin, Italy. Page 949 - 95

- Short monopole at all frequencies
- Sinusoidal profile helps achieve frequency independence
- Antenna height ~4cm, disc radius ~2cm
- Return loss better than 15 dB and smooth to 1% level
- HPBW has ~10% variation across the band



Correlator



Digital Signal Processing platform (pSPEC) built around multi-GSps ADCs and Virtex 6 FPGA



Processing of 4 analog signals at Fs = 2 GSps (2 ADCs in time- interleaved mode)

Courtesy: B S Girish and Srivani K.S

Correlator

- Achieving perfect I-Q throughout GHz is challenging
- Results in unavoidable image spectral features in spectrum
- Non-IQ scheme places higher demand on samplers to achieve wide bandwidths

Correlator



APSERa on its way

- Antenna design being improved upon
- Analog scheme identified, will evolve
- Digital correlator implemented with two architectures. Samplers will be replaced, FPGA chip will be upgraded
- System integration and field tests will begin shortly

We're warmed up for the get set go!

<u>People</u>

- Ravi Subrahmanyan (RRI)
- N Udaya Shankar (RRI)
- Jens Chluba (University of Manchester)
- Saurabh Singh (RRI)
- Srivani K S (RRI)
- Girish B S (RRI)
- Somashekar R (RRI)
- Raghunathan A (RRI)
- Divya J (RRI)
- Nivedita S (RRI)

Thank you